

# Abortion laws – why we don’t need any

Canada has successfully had no abortion law for 36 years.

The Supreme Court of Canada struck down the previous law in 1988 as unconstitutional because it violated women's bodily autonomy. The law was never replaced and the medical profession regulates abortion the same way as for other healthcare.

After Roe v. Wade was overturned in the United States, the Canadian government suggested that abortion rights and access should be protected by law. Reproductive rights groups pointed out that any such law could be amended or repealed, and weaponized by anti-choice forces who could add restrictions or challenge the law. Legislating abortion creates the risk of new barriers even if unintentional. Enshrining the right to abortion into Canada’s constitution is also unnecessary because the right is already well protected under the constitutional right of “security of the person.” To improve access, other means are available to the government such as enforcing the *Canada Heath Act* to ensure equitable access to abortion care in every province and providing dedicated funding for SRH.

New Zealand, Australia, and South Korea have also decriminalized abortion. New Zealand and all Australian states passed civil laws to regulate abortion practice, but it’s still partially criminalized in Western Australia. South Korea’s Supreme Court struck down the criminal law in 2019 but abortion access remains mostly blocked, reportedly because the government has failed to amend laws. Also, the abortion pill is not yet approved. But decriminalization should empower healthcare professionals (HCPs) and medical bodies to organize and deliver surgical abortion care on their own.

Social and Economic Impacts	
Harms of an abortion law	Benefits of no law
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Stigma is amplified and enduring because abortion is restricted or illegal. Public support for abortion may stagnate or decline.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Stigma can recede over time because abortion is perceived as part of healthcare and a woman's right. Public support for abortion increases and remains high.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Restrictive laws are associated with lower status for women. The message sent is that women’s childbearing capacity is more important than their status as human beings with rights.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The status of women rises when their essential healthcare is not criminalized. Public support for gender equality tends to be higher.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>People may be afraid to voice their support for abortion, while people opposed are empowered to speak out.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>People who support abortion speak out freely. Those opposed often speak in euphemisms, adopt a mild public stance, or stay silent – because being anti-choice is seen as socially unacceptable.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>It is challenging to disseminate abortion information, leaving patients more vulnerable to misinformation and unsafe abortion.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Comprehensive and accurate information on abortion can be published with no impediment, including how to access it. Such information can be accessed from official sources like governments and medical bodies.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Marginalized populations are the most affected by barriers caused by laws, as they may lack the resources to obtain care or may avoid the medical system out of fear. This leads to disproportionate harms for people of colour, Indigenous people, LGBTQIA+ people, and other minorities.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>When abortion care is fully integrated into the health system, it can help ensure better access for all populations. Medical bodies and advocates can be funded by governments to provide care to vulnerable populations in culturally-appropriate ways.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion is separated from the larger context of social and structural determinants of health, negatively impacting racial, social, and economic justice. All of society is harmed by abortion criminalization, not just women and marginalized populations.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Integrating abortion into healthcare systems allows Reproductive Justice to flourish: “The human right to maintain personal bodily autonomy, have children, not have children, and parent the children we have in safe and sustainable communities.”</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>It is often difficult or impossible to establish public funding for abortion when it’s legally restricted or criminalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Full public funding for abortion becomes feasible when abortion is integrated into healthcare systems. There’s no reason to treat it differently than other funded care.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No government funding for abortion means that cost becomes a huge barrier for abortion seekers. Low-income people may need to delay or forgo care.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Everyone who needs an abortion can access one regardless of income level.</li></ul>

## Harms of a law vs. Benefits of no law

By Joyce Arthur, Executive Director  
Abortion Rights Coalition of Canada  
joyce@arcc-cdac.ca  
604-351-0867

Medical Impacts	
Harms of an abortion law	Benefits of no law
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Government and law enforcement control how abortion care is delivered and accessed, even though they are unqualified.</li><li>HCPs must be familiar with the law, what the limits are, and how to navigate them.</li><li>Abortion may be siloed into private clinics, and/or providers tend to specialize in abortion.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>HCPs and medical organizations organize and deliver abortion care according to best medical protocols.</li><li>HCPs can focus on following clinical guidelines and professional ethical codes.</li><li>Abortion can be made more widely available in both hospitals and clinics. More providers can be trained and can incorporate abortion into their broader practice.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Training for abortion providers is unavailable or limited. Diminished training opportunities can lead to a decline in clinical skills and knowledge, which impacts access to care and reinforces stigma.</li><li>HCPs cannot engage in innovation and new protocols because the law may preclude this (eg, patients must attend clinics in-person, some abortion methods are restricted).</li><li>Research into abortion care and practice may be limited or non-existing, making it challenging to improve care standards. HCPs may be using outdated methods that do not meet current standards.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Training for abortion providers can be made more readily available by NGOs and at medical schools. Reduced stigma means that abortion training can even be made mandatory, at least for Ob/Gyns.</li><li>HCPs can implement innovations and new protocols as needed.</li><li>Researchers are free to carry out studies and even specialize in abortion research at their university (eg, in Canada). HCPs can continually improve care standards by implementing research findings.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>HCPs may not be able to provide the best standard of care because of possible legal consequences and the need to consult lawyers.</li><li>HCPs may have to turn patients away who don’t meet legal requirements for an abortion.</li><li>Laws may restrict abortion provision to Obstetrician/Gynecologists, which reduces the pool of providers and narrows access.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>HCPs can focus on providing the best standard of healthcare for their patients without legal worry.</li><li>HCPs are free to provide care for their patients or refer them to other HCPs with the required skills.</li><li>Family doctors can provide abortions, increasing the provider pool. Nurse practitioners and midwives may also be able to provide abortions.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Criminalization usually means no registration and limited availability of the essential medicines mifepristone and misoprostol.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>There are no legal impediments to approving abortion medication in a country, or to dispensing and distributing it.</li></ul>

Health Impacts	
Harms of an abortion law	Benefits of no law
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Countries with restrictive laws have higher maternal mortality rates.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maternal mortality from abortion is extremely rare in the absence of a law (eg, in Canada) – and very low in countries with more liberal laws.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Patients’ physical and mental health is put at risk. The law may cause delays and barriers, compel patients to accept unsafe or sub-standard care, or force them to carry to term. These outcomes lead to increased distress and depression.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Patients can access safe care more easily and quickly, with fewer barriers and reduced stigma, resulting in much less risk of physical or mental health issues.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Some abortion seekers will be unable to access care due to financial or other barriers, putting them at risk of anxiety and low self-esteem, increased poverty, and staying linked to abusive partners (compared to those who have abortions).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Everyone who needs an abortion should be able to get one. While some people may still fall through the cracks, it’s due to other issues and not the law.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Patients who experience complications from abortion may be afraid of seeking help in a criminalized environment, putting their health at risk.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Patients who experience complications from abortion can go to hospital or call their doctor, as they would for any other medical problem.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Criminal laws with limited or no exceptions force people to carry to term in cases of severe fetal abnormality. This leads to increased physical and mental health risks, and a higher maternal and infant mortality rate.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Patients are able to access later abortions with fewer barriers and are treated with compassion and dignity.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Gestational limits mean that HCPs and patients face arbitrary deadlines that interfere with their ability to provide/access care.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Treatment decisions are based on clinical considerations and patient needs, not legal limits.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Births of unwanted children are common. They are more likely to be victims of infanticide, abandonment, or abuse.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Very few unwanted children are born. Access to safe abortion allows people to plan and have wanted children when they’re ready, and the children are more likely to thrive.</li></ul>

Legal and Political Impacts	
Harms of an abortion law	Benefits of no law
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Criminal laws violate women’s constitutional and human rights, including rights to life, health, bodily autonomy, equality, non-discrimination, privacy, conscience, freedom of religion and expression, dignity, and freedom from cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment (as per CEDAW and other international agreements).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Women maintain their full constitutional and human rights when they seek and have abortions.</li><li>Compliance with international agreements is assured when abortion rights and access are protected.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Unlike other medical treatments, abortion is singled out for criminalization or legal control.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion becomes a normal part of reproductive healthcare and can be integrated with other types of care.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Criminal laws fail in their intent to prevent abortion. Most women will find a way to access abortion, whether safe or unsafe. As a result, abortion rates are broadly similar around the world regardless of law.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion rates do not increase and are likely to decrease with good access to contraception. Laws are unnecessary because we can trust women as well as the medical expertise of HCPs to manage abortion practice responsibly.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion seekers and HCPs are criminals if they have/provide abortions outside the law, and risk arrest and prison if reported.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>People who have abortions are law-abiding citizens with rights, and abortion providers are respected professionals who abide by ethical codes.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion seekers may be afraid to ask for support from friends or families, who in turn may be afraid to help due to potential legal consequences.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion seekers can feel much more confident in asking for help from their support networks, with no negative consequences for anyone.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Later gestational limits insultingly imply that a 24-week limit is necessary to stop hordes of irresponsible women from having frivolous 9-month abortions.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Women’s autonomy and decisions are respected and accommodated. This includes difficult but rare decisions to end later pregnancies that have gone wrong.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>When a criminal law allows certain exceptions, it sends the message that women who have abortions for “unjustified” reasons are immoral and criminal.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Abortion seekers do not have to give a reason. Their decisions are respected as the best choice for themselves.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Exceptions allowed by a criminal law generally don’t work, eg, rape victims may be required to report to police, and emergency exceptions are vaguely worded.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Those who need abortions for emergency or compassionate reasons can access them without any special requirements.</li></ul>

### References

Why we do NOT need to enshrine abortion rights into law. May 2023. Abortion Rights Coalition of Canada  
Why Abortion Needs No Legal Restrictions. June 2022. ARCC  
Abortion is a Charter right. June 2018. ARCC  
Canadian Regulation of Abortion. April 2023. ARCC  
Abortion Law in Australia: April 2024. MSI Australia  
Abortion legislation. Sept 2023. Ministry of Health, New Zealand  
South Korea Still Blocking Abortion. June 11, 2024. By Susanné Seong-eun Bergsten, Human Rights Watch  
Through Her Eyes: The Harms of Abortion Criminalisation and the Need for Reform. 2020. By Women and Law in Southern Africa Research and Educational Trust—Malawi, and Godfrey Dalitso Kangaude  
The impact of criminalisation on abortion-related outcomes: a synthesis of legal and health evidence. Dec 2022. F. de Londras et al. BMJ Global Health. 7:12  
Focusing on Exceptions Misses the True Harm of Abortion Bans. Dec 2022. Elizabeth Nash, Guttmacher Institute  
Realizing the Full Decriminalization of Abortion. Sept 2023. By Alejandra Cardenas, Susheela Singh, Margaret Harpin, and Sophia Sadinsky  
The Turnaway Study. By Diana Greene Foster et al., ANSIRH  
SisterSong. hwww.sistersong.net/reproductive-justice  
Clear and Growing Evidence That Dobbs Is Harming Reproductive Health and Freedom. May 2024. By Kelly Baden, Joerg Dreweke, Candace Gibson. Guttmacher Institute  
Anxiety and Depression Symptoms After the Dobbs Abortion Decision. Jan 2024. By Benjamin Thornburg, Alene Kennedy-Hendricks, Joanne D. Rosen. JAMA Network  
An Explicit Right to Abortion is Needed in International Human Rights Law. June 2023. By Audrey Chapman, Health & Human Rights Journal